## Asymmetric Induction. Reduction, Nucleophilic Addition to, and Ene Reactions of Chiral α-Ketoesters

## James K. Whitesell,\* Don Deyo, and Apurba Bhattacharya

Department of Chemistry, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas, U.S.A.

Ketoesters of 8-phenylmenthol undergo reduction with potassium tri-isopropoxyborohydride, addition of Grignard reagents, and ene reactions with asymmetric induction levels of 90% and above.

We recently reported two methods for carbon–carbon bond formation that afforded (S)- $\alpha$ -hydroxyesters with high levels of asymmetric induction using (-)-8-phenylmenthol as the chiral auxiliary in ene and Grignard reactions of its glyoxylate ester.<sup>1,2</sup> An inherent limitation of these techniques is that access to the opposite, (R), chirality is restricted by the relative difficulty associated with obtaining (+)-8-phenylmenthol. We have found that reversal of the order of addition of the substituents through reduction of ketoesters (1) of (-)-8-phenylmenthol affords the (R) chirality with practical levels of asymmetric induction.

The level of induction to be expected in addition reactions to ketoesters such as (1) will be a combination of two factors: the inherent diastereoface selectivity imparted by the chiral auxiliary, and the degree of bias in the transition state for either the cisoid or the transoid orientation of the carbonyl groups. Our previous results have shown that 8-phenylmenthol can impart an exceptionally high level of diastereoface selection. However, initial attempts at reduction of (1a) with sodium borohydride afforded disappointing levels of induction, presumably because of poor control of the second factor, the orientation of the carbonyl groups. Therefore a range of reducing agents was examined, with emphasis placed on counterion differences (Table 1). This survey led to the discovery that potassium tri-isopropoxyborohydride reduction of (1a) afforded the lactate ester (2) with a 90% diastereoisomeric excess (d.e.) and with the opposite chirality (R) as previously obtained by addition of methyl Grignard reagent to the glyoxylate ester. Analogous reduction of (1b) afforded the (R)-mandelic acid ester with an induction level of 50%.

We have also examined the addition of Grignard reagents to (1a) and (1b). Reaction of (1a) with phenyl Grignard reagent and of (1b) with methyl Grignard reagent afforded the tertiary alcohols S-(3) and R-(3), respectively. In both cases,  ${}^{13}$ C n.m.r. analysis showed no cross-contamination (minimum detection level 5%) indicating asymmetric induction of at least 90%. Conformation of these levels of induction and assignment of the absolute configurations to S-(3) and R-(3) was obtained by reduction with di-isobutylaluminium hydride to afford the diols S-(4) and R-(4) with optical purities of 88 and 92\%, respectively.3 The sense of asymmetric induction obtained with ketones (1) is consistent with addition from the front face as drawn, and is thus the same as that obtained in the ene and nucleophilic addition reactions of the parent aldehyde.<sup>1,2</sup> It should be noted that both enantiomers of the diol (4) were prepared in high optical purity using a single enantiomer of the chiral auxiliary.

The  $SnCl_4$  catalysed ene reaction of (1a) with hex-1-ene at -78 °C afforded the adduct (5) which appeared as a single diastereoisomer by  $^{13}$ C n.m.r. analysis (d.e. >90%). This adduct is a tertiary, homoallylic alcohol and thus the synthetic equivalent of the product from a crossed-aldol reaction, involving a ketone as the electrophile.

These observations add to a growing list of uses of 8-phenylmenthol<sup>1,2,4</sup> from which we conclude that this chiral auxiliary will find a wide range of practical applications in synthetic chemistry.

(1a) 
$$R = Me$$
(1b)  $R = Ph$ 

(1a)  $PhMgBr$ 
(1b)  $PhMgBr$ 
(1b)  $PhMgBr$ 
(1c)  $PhMgBr$ 
(1c)  $PhMgBr$ 
(1d)  $PhMgBr$ 
(1e)  $PhMgBr$ 
(1f)  $PhMgBr$ 

Table 1

Reagenta	Chemical yield of (2), %	Diastereoisomeric excess of (2), %b
K(PriO) <sub>3</sub> BH/THF (ref. 5)	90	90
BH <sub>3</sub> /THF	96	0
2 equiv. Bu <sup>1</sup> 2AlH/THF	68	33
NaBH₄/MeOH	90	33
Li(Bu <sup>t</sup> Ö) <sub>3</sub> AlH/THF	90	60
Li(Bu <sup>t</sup> O) <sub>3</sub> AlH–LiBr/ THF–Et <sub>2</sub> O	90	33

 $^{\rm a}$  All reactions were carried out at  $-78\,^{\circ}{\rm C}$  and with one equiv. of reductant unless stated otherwise.  $^{\rm b}$  Diastereoisomeric ratios were determined by both  $^{13}{\rm C}$  n.m.r. and h.p.l.c. analysis.

This research was supported by grants from the Public Health Service and from the Robert A. Welch Foundation.

Received, 29th September 1982; Com. 1148

## References

- 1 J. K. Whitesell, A. Bhattacharya, and K. Henke, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1982, 988.
- 2 J. K. Whitesell, A. Bhattacharya, D. A. Aguilar, and K. Henke, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1982, 989.
- 3 F. L. Shore and G. U. Yuen, J. Org. Chem., 1972, 37, 3703.
- E. J. Corey and H. E. Ensley, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1975, 97, 6908;
   W. Oppolzer, M. Kurth, D. Reichlin, C. Chapuis, M. Mohnhaupt, and F. Moffatt, Helv. Chim. Acta, 1981, 64, 2802;
   W. Oppolzer and H. J. Loher, ibid., 1981, 64, 2808;
   W. Oppolzer, C. Robbiani, and K. Battig, ibid., 1980, 63, 2015.
- 5 C. A. Brown, S. Krishnamurthy, and S. C. Kim, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1973, 391.